UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,678	09/23/2005	Emil Wilding	31608-210847	1917	
	26694 7590 01/27/2010 VENABLE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438		SZEKELY, PETER A			
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/517,678	WILDING ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Peter Szekely	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ecepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact to the drawing(s).	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,678 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following: On page 9, paragraph 00015, Table 1, Examples 2 and 8 are marked yes/no for suitability of material.

Example 2 is not suitable for the required application while Example 8 is deemed suitable. This is very confusing and applicants' application did not shed any light on the ambiguity either. Having the same designation for a suitable and an unsuitable product is inexplicable.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. "Up to" includes zero. EVA having a vinyl acetate content of up 40 weight%, includes a polymer having no vinyl acetate, which would be polyethylene not EVA. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

6. Claims 1 and 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brehmer et al. 4,717,496, Goldberg 6,475,619 or British Shoe Machinery Ltd. WO 94/03211, in view of Gaku et al. 4,820,769, Oien 5,525,663 or Lees et al. 2006/121225, with Reith 4,939,036.

7. The rejections are maintained in view of the "Response to Arguments" set forth below.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/8/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The three primary references describe applicants' composition. The secondary references are used to show that the method of producing a hot melt adhesive is also known. The evidentiary material is cited to show that the particle size of the filler in a hot melt adhesive is not critical. The examiner does not understand why applicants have difficulty understanding the rejection. The examiner working under considerable time pressure cannot take the time necessary to write nine different rejections. As far as applicants' arguments are concerned, since applicants are not claiming reground PVC, Example 1 of Brehmer is not the closest prior art. The "comprising" language of the claims does not exclude the use of any additional materials, including latices. The fact that Goldberg and British Shoe adhere their adhesives to fabric does not change the composition of their adhesive and applicants do not claim an article. What articles are shown in Goldberg and British Shoe is irrelevant. Goldberg shows 50% of component "a" and 15% of component "b", satisfying applicants' claims. The other materials are immaterial. Whether the articles

Application/Control Number: 10/517,678 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

shown by the cited references are recyclable or not is beside the point because applicants do not claim a recyclable composition or article. The rejection stands.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:10 a.m.-4:40 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter Szekely/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/P. S./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 1/20/10